PHARMACOKINETICS OF AMIKACIN IN HEALTHY GOATS AFTER REPETITIVE INTRAMUSCULAR ADMINISTRATION

NAMRATA UPADHYAY, NITESH KUMAR, ARPITA SHRIVASTAV, SWATANTRA SINGH, NEERAJ SHRIVASTAVA¹, SUMAT KUMAR SHAKYA² and RAJEEV RANJAN*

Department of Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology,

Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Department Livestock Production Management
College of Veterinary Science and A.H., Rewa-486001
Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur, India

Received: 29.09.2020; Accepted: 17.12.2020

ABSTRACT

Pharmacokinetic study was carried out after repetitive intramuscular (I/M) administration of amikacin at the dose rate of 10 mg/Kg b.wt. for five days. Amikacin concentrations in plasma and pharmacokinetics parameters were analyzed by using microbiological assay technique. The minimum therapeutic concentration ($C_p^{\text{**}}$ min = MIC) ≥ 1.0 mg/ml was maintained in the plasma from 0.083 to 12 h on both 1st and 5th day of drug administration. Significantly higher plasma concentrations of the drug appeared from 0.083 to 12 h in 5th day as compared to 1st day. The kinetic parameters like absorption rate constant (A'), area under curve (AUC), area under first moment curve (AUMC), maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) and total body clearance (ClB) were significantly higher on 5th day as compared to 1st day. All the other kinetic parameters differed non-significantly between 1st and 5th day of drug administration. The loading (D*) and maintenance (D⁰) doses were 3.96 ± 0.17 and 3.57 ± 0.189 mg/kg b.wt., respectively to maintain the minimum therapeutic concentration of 1.0 µg/ml at the dosage interval of 12 h.

Keywords: Amikacin, Dose, Goat, Pharmacokinetics

How to cite: Upadhyay, N., Kumar, N., Shrivastav, A., Singh, S., Shrivastava, N., Shakya, S.K. and Ranjan, R. (2021). Pharmacokinetics of amikacin in healthy goats after repetitive intramuscular administration. *Haryana Vet.* **60(1)**: 92-95.

Amikacin is an aminoglycoside antibiotic primarily used for the treatment of infections caused by aerobic gram negative bacilli when first-line antibiotic treatment is ineffective (Boehr et al., 2003). Due to its property of being refractory to most aminoglycoside modifying enzymes, amikacin has been successfully used to treat aminoglycoside resistant infections, and it is the most widely used semi-synthetic aminoglycoside (Ristuccia and Cunha, 1985). Amikacin alone or in combination with other antibiotics is used to treat a variety of serious infections caused by aerobic gram-negative bacteria, as well as mycobacteria and Nocardia (Tamma et al., 2012). Amikacin is mainly administered intravenously, intramuscularly and through nebulization (Quon et al., 2014). Other routes of administration for specific infections are intrathecal or intraventricular (Berning et al., 2001). Since, amikacin exhibits the toxic effects common to aminoglycosides, i.e. ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity, the dose regime to maximize therapeutic outcomes and minimize adverse consequences is of great importance. Calculation of dosage regimen in most of the pharmacokinetic studies is based on the pharmacokinetic parameters derived from single intramuscular (I/M) administrations (Agrawal et al., 2002); however, dosage regimen after repetitive dosing is not available. Hence, the present study was undertaken to investigate whether the dosage regimen calculated from I/M administration of

amikacin in goats actually maintains the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) at the end of every dosage interval during repetitive administration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals: The experiment was performed in four clinically healthy female goats of Sirohi breed between 1 to 2 years of age and 15 to 25 kg body weight. The experimental protocol for general procedure and use of animals for conducting the present study has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (No:873/IAEC/Vety/Rewa/2018; Dated: 28/06/2018), College of Veterinary Science & A.H., Rewa, Madhya Pradesh, India.

Test organism and chemicals: Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922) as test organism was used for estimation of concentration of the drugs in plasma by microbiological assay technique obtained from the National Collection of Industrial Micro-Organism (NCIM), Division of Biochemical sciences, National Chemical Laboratory, Pune. The injectable commercial preparation containing amikacin equivalent to 250 mg/ml, marketed by Amidac, India was used in the present investigation. The drug was administered at the dose rate of 10 mg/kg bwt I/M route (Agrawal et al., 2001) once daily for five days (Saini and Srivastaya, 1998).

Collection of blood samples and estimation of amikacin: Blood samples (approx. 1 ml) were drawn from

^{*}Corresponding author: rajeev2049@gmail.com

jugular vein into heparinized glass centrifuge tubes for plasma collection on 1^{st} and 5^{th} day of drug administration at $0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45 \min$ and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 24 h. The concentration of amikacin in plasma was estimated by a rapid, specific microbiological assay technique using *Escherichia coli* as the test organism (Paul *et al.*, 1971).

Bioassay technique and pharmacokinetic analysis: Punch bioassay technique was used to estimate the concentration of amikacin in plasma (Arret *et al.*, 1971). The plasma concentration-time profile of amikacin for each animal was used to determine the pharmacokinetics parameters on the basis of Gibaldi and Perrier (1982).

Calculation of dosage regimen and statistical analysis: The dosage regimen for maintaining minimal therapeutic concentration in plasma at the desired dosage intervals (t) was calculated using the equations as reported by Baggot (1977). Statistical analysis was done by using paired 't' test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comparative plasma concentrations of amikacin in healthy goats after I/M administration are shown in table 1. The mean plasma concentration of amikacin was $0.24 \pm 0.02 \, \mu g/ml$ and $0.33 \pm 0.02 \, \mu g/ml$ at $0.42 \, h$ while, the drug was detected with plasma concentration $0.27 \pm 0.02 \, mg/ml$ and $0.33 \pm 0.02 \, \mu g/ml$ till 24h on 1^{st} and 5^{th} day, respectively. The mean peak plasma concentration (C_{max}) was $18.15 \pm 0.69 \, \mu g/ml$ and $19.84 \pm 0.60 \, \mu g/ml$ on 1^{st} and 5^{th} day at 4 h. The MIC ($\geq 1.0 \, \mu g/ml$) of amikacin was maintained from 0.083 to $12 \, h$ on both 1^{st} and 5^{th} day. Significantly higher plasma concentrations of the drug appeared from 0.083 to $12 \, h$ on 5^{th} day as compared to 1^{st} day of amikacin administration.

For kinetic analysis, plasma concentrations of amikacin were plotted on a semi-logarithmic scale as a function of time and the data was analyzed using a one compartment open model (Gibaldi and Perrier, 1982). The comparative kinetic parameters of amikacin in healthy goat after repetitive I/M administration have been shown in table 2. Significantly higher values of the absorption rate constant (A), area under curve (AUC), area under first moment curve (AUMC), and maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}) and total body clearance (ClB) were observed on 5^{th} day as compared to 1^{st} day. All other kinetic parameters differed non significantly between 1^{st} and 5^{th} day of amikacin administration.

The $t_{_{1/2}}$ Ka of amikacin was 1.21 ± 0.11 h and 1.06 ± 0.03 h on 1^{st} and 5^{th} day, respectively. However, findings contrary to the present findings have been reported by

Uppal et al. (1997) in goat (0.24 h), Brown et al. (1984) in mare (0.385 h), Uppal et al. (1998) in buffalo calf (0.214 h), Carli et al. (1990) in calves (0.36 h) and sheep (0.43 h). The $t_{1/2}$ b of amikacin was 3.57 ± 0.13 h and 3.80 ± 0.10 h on 1st and 5th day, respectively. This might have contributed to less excretion of amikacin leading to the longer half-life and persistence of higher concentration in plasma for most of the time intervals. These findings are in concordance with the findings of Saini and Shrivastava (1998) and Brown et al. (1986), who reported 3.09 h in bovine calves and 3 h in foal. However, contrary to the present findings, t₁₀b observed earlier 1.64 h in lactating sheep (Haritova, 2004), 2.05 h in lactating goats (Abo-el sooud, 1999), 2.02 h in red tailed hawks (Bloomfield et al., 1997), 1.408 h in goats (Uppal et al., 1997) and 2.3 h in mare (Brown et al., 1984). The difference in observed half-life value in the present study as compared to other species may be due to differences in metabolism, process of excretion, species and intra-species variation.

The AUC values of amikacin were significantly lower (131.75 \pm 3.39 μ g/ml.h) on 1st day as compared to 154.28 \pm 4.63 μ g/ml.h on 5th day. However, contrary to these findings, Agrawal *et al.*, 2001 have reported lower AUC in goats (73.18 μ g/ml.h), in lactating sheep (94.09 \pm 6.95 μ g/ml.h) (Haritova, 2004) and in Greyhounds dogs

Table 1

Comparative plasma concentrations (Mean±SE) of amikacin on 1st and 5th day following I/M administration in healthy goat

| | Plasma concentration of amikacin (mg.ml ⁻¹) | | |
|----------|---|---------------------|--|
| Time (h) | 1 st Day | 5 th Day | |
| 0.042 | 00.24 ± 0.02 | 00.33 ± 0.03 | |
| 0.083 | 01.10 ± 0.28 | 01.37±0.28* | |
| 0.166 | 02.05 ± 0.42 | 02.50±0.43* | |
| 0.25 | 04.05 ± 0.19 | 04.95±0.25* | |
| 0.50 | 06.75 ± 0.32 | 07.95±0.44* | |
| 0.75 | 08.45 ± 0.20 | 09.42±0.22* | |
| 1 | 10.05 ± 0.19 | 11.03±0.17* | |
| 1.5 | 11.46 ± 0.46 | 12.96±0.73* | |
| 2 | 12.89 ± 0.73 | 18.15±0.69* | |
| 4 | 18.15±0.69 | 19.78±0.65* | |
| 6 | 13.10 ± 0.60 | 14.35±0.94* | |
| 8 | 05.43 ± 0.29 | 06.33±0.22* | |
| 12 | 02.25 ± 0.12 | 02.88±0.15* | |
| 24 | 00.27 ± 0.02 | 00.33 ± 0.02 | |

^{*}Significant (p<0.05) difference following I/M administration in healthy goat on 1st and 5th day

Table 2

Comparative pharmacokinetic parameters (Mean±SE) of amikacin on 1st and 5th day following I/M administration in healthy goats

| | • 0 | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Parameter (Unit) | 1 st day | 5 th day |
| $A'(\mu g/ml)$ | 36.91±1.79 | 40.01±1.95* |
| $B(\mu g/ml)$ | 27.66±2.83 | 26.62 ± 1.98 |
| $Ka(h^{-1})$ | 0.62 ± 0.09 | 0.66 ± 0.02 |
| $t_{1/2}Ka(h)$ | 1.21±0.11 | 1.06 ± 0.03 |
| $\beta(h^{-1})$ | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 0.18 ± 0.00 |
| $t_{_{1/2}}\beta\left(h\right)$ | 3.57±0.13 | 3.80 ± 0.10 |
| $AUC(\mu g/ml.h)$ | 131.75±3.39 | 154.28±4.63* |
| $AUMC(\mu g/ml.h^2)$ | 626.30±155.39 | 920.55±23.94* |
| MRT(h) | 5.90±0.15 | 5.98 ± 0.09 |
| $Vd_{area}(L/kg)$ | 0.39 ± 0.22 | 0.36 ± 0.19 |
| $Cl_{\scriptscriptstyle B}(ml/kg/h)$ | 76.06 ± 1.90 | 64.98±1.86* |
| $C_{\text{max}}(\mu g/ml)$ | 18.15±0.69 | 19.78±0.65* |
| $T_{max}(h)$ | 4.00 ± 0.00 | 4.00 ± 0.00 |

*Significant difference (p<0.05); Zero-time concentration during absorption (A'); Zero-time concentration during elimination phases (B); Absorption rate constant (Ka); Absorption half-life ($t_{1/2}$ ka); Elimination rate constant (β); Elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$ β); Area under curve (AUC); Area under first moment curve (AUMC); Mean residence time (MRT); Volume of distribution (Vd_{area}); Total body clearance (Cl_B); Maximum plasma concentration (C_{max}); Time required to attain peak plasma level (T_{max}).

Table 3
Comparative dosage regimens (Mean±SE) for amikacin following I/M administration in healthy goats

| $\overline{C_p^{\infty}}$ min (µg/ml) | τ(h) | Dose | Amikacin (mg/kg bwt) |
|---------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 12 | D* | 3.96±0.17 |
| | | $\mathbf{D}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ | 3.57±0.19 |
| 2 | 12 | D* | 7.95±0.36 |
| | | $\mathbf{D}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ | 7.15 ± 0.38 |

 C_P^{∞} min= Minimum therapeutic concentration in plasma (MIC); $\tau(h)$ =Dosage interval; D*=Loading or priming dose; D^0 = Maintenance dose

(79.97 µg/ml.h) (Kukanich and Coetzee, 2007). The AUMC values of amikacin were $626.30 \pm 15.39 \,\mu g/ml.h^2$ on 1^{st} day and $920.55 \pm 23.94 \,\mu g/ml.h^2$ on 5^{th} day. Bhat and Kumar (2019) reported lower AUMC values ($22.7 \pm 0.266 \,\mu g/ml.h^2$ on 1^{st} day and $24.57 \pm 0.229 \,\mu g/ml.h^2$ on 5^{th} day) following repetitive once daily I/V administration of amikacin in cow calves. The MRT values of amikacin was

 5.90 ± 0.15 h on 1st day and 5.98 ± 0.09 h on 5th day. These findings are in close agreement with the results of Agrawal *et al.* (2001), who reported MRT 4.67 ± 0.19 h in goats. The higher values of AUC, AUMC and MRT in the present investigation reflect that the drug remains in the body for comparatively longer duration of time.

The Vd_{area} of amikacin was 0.39 ± 0.22 L/kg and 0.36 ± 0.19 L/kg on 1^{st} and 5^{th} day, respectively. The Vd_{area} obtained in the present investigation is in accordance with the findings of Agrawal *et al.* (2001), who reported 0.39 ± 0.03 L/kg in goats. However, contrary to the present findings, Vd_{area} were 0.26 ± 0.029 L/kg in mare (Brown *et al.*, 1984), 0.201 ± 0.005 L/kg in buffalo calf (Uppal *et al.*, 1998), 0.28 ± 0.03 L/kg in red tailed hawks (Bloomfield, 1997), 0.26 ± 0.029 L/kg in mare (Brown *et al.*, 1984) and 0.58 L/kg in foal (Brown, 1986). The higher values of Vd_{area} indicate greater distribution of amikacin in body of goat.

The total body clearance (Cl_R) of amikacin was 76.06 ± 1.90 and 64.98 ± 1.86 ml/kg/h on 1st and 5th day, respectively. Cl_B value reported earlier 2.34 ± 0.17 ml/kg/min in goats (Agrawal et al., 2001), 0.97 ml/kg/min in camel (Wasfi et al., 1999), 2.66 ml/kg/min in dogs (Baggot *et al.*, 1985), $1.33 \pm 0.11 \text{ ml/kg/min}$ in mare (Brown et al., 1984) and 0.752 ± 0.012 ml/kg/min in buffalo calves (Uppal et al., 1998). This difference in the values of Cl_B amongst various species of the animals indicated that difference in their glomerular filtration rates of amikacin which is polar organic base, hence weakly bound to serum proteins and is excreted as unchanged into the urine by glomerular filtration (Carli et al., 1990). Variations among age, sex, species, breed and different methods for estimating the kinetic parameters may contribute to the wide discrepancies in kinetic parameters as reported by various researchers (Jayachandran et al., 1990).

The ultimate objective of the study of disposition kinetics is to determine an appropriate dose regimen of drugs. For any antimicrobial agent, the dosage regimen is calculated to maintaining the minimum therapeutic concentration (C_p^∞ min = MIC) throughout the course of infections. Based on these kinetic parameters, the dosage regimen for maintaining minimal therapeutic concentration of 1 and 2 μ g/ml in plasma at the dosage intervals 12 hr have been shown in Table 3. The calculated dosage regimens of amikacin for C_p^∞ min = 1.0 μ g/ml were 3.96 ± 0.17 mg/kg b.wt. (D*) and 3.57 ± 0.19 mg/kg b.wt. (D°) and for C_p^∞ min = 2.0 μ g/ml were 7.95 \pm 0.36 mg/kg b.wt. (D*) and 7.15 ± 0.38 mg/kg b.wt. (D°), respectively at 12 h dosage intervals (τ). These calculated doses at C_p° min

(MIC) = 1.0 μ g/ml, are lower than that for goats D* (7.4 mg/kg b.wt.) and D⁰ (7.0 mg/kg b.wt.) at 8 h interval (Agrawal *et al.*, 2001) and in healthy cow calves was D* (13 mg/kg b.wt.) and D⁰ (12 mg/kg b.wt.) at 12 h interval (Saini and Srivastava, 1998).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to College of Veterinary Science & A.H., Rewa (Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India) for providing necessary facilities to carry out the present investigation.

REFERENCES

- Abo-el sooud, K. (1999). Pharmacokinetics of amikacin in lactating goats. *Zentralbl Veterinarmed A*. **46**: 239-246.
- Agrawal, A.K., Singh S.D. and Jayachandran, C. (2001). Pharmacokinetics of amikacin in goats after single intramuscular administration. *Indian J. Pharmacol.* **33**: 374-377.
- Agrawal, A.K., Singh, S.D. and Jayachandran, C. (2002). Comparative pharmacokinetics and dosage regimen of amikacin in afebrile and febrile goats. *Indian J. Pharmacol.* **34**: 356-360.
- Arret, B., Johnoson D.P. and Kirshaum, A. (1971). Outline of details for microbiological assay of antibiotics. J. Pharm. Sci. 49: 34-38.
- Baggot, J.D., Ling, G.V., Chatfield (1985). Clinical pharmacokinetics of amikacin in dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **46(8)**: 1793-1796.
- Baggot, J.D. (1977). Principles of pharmacokinetics. In: Principles of Drug Disposition in Domestic Animals (1st Edn.), W.B. Saunders Co, Philadelphia. pp. 144-189.
- Berning, S.E., Cherry, T.A. and Iseman, M.D. (2001). Novel treatment of meningitis caused by multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* with intra thecal levofloxacin and amikacin: Case report. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **32**: 643-646.
- Bhat, A.R. and Kumar, N. (2019). A multiple once daily dose pharmacokinetic of amikacin in cow calves following intravenous administration. J. Vet. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 18(2): 49-54.
- Bloomfield, R.B., Brooks, D. and Vulliet, R. (1997). The pharmacokinetics of a single intramuscular dose of amikacin in red-tailed hawks. *J. Zoo Wildl. Med.* **28**: 55-61.
- Boehr, D.D., Draker, K.A. and Wright, G.D. (2003). Aminoglycoside and aminocyclitols. In: Finch R.G., Greenwood, D., Norrby, S.R., Whitley, R.J. (Edts.) Antibiotic and Chemotherapy: Anti-Infective Agents and Their Use in Therapy. Churchill Livingstone, New York. pp. 155-184.
- Brown, M.P., Embertson, R.M., Gronwall, R.R., Beal, C., Mayhew, I.H. and Curry, S.H. (1984). Amikacin sulphate in mares:

- Pharmacokinetics and body fluid and endometrial concentrations after repeated intramuscular administration. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **45**: 1610-1613.
- Brown, M.P., Gronwall, R.R., Martinez, D.S. and Beal, C. (1986). Pharmacokinetics of amikacin in pony foals after a single intramuscular injection. Am. J. Vet. Res. 47: 453-454.
- Carli, S., Montesissa, C., Sonzogni, O., Madonna, M. and Said-Faqi, A. (1990). Comparative pharmacokinetics of amikacin sulphate in calves and sheep. *Res Vet Sci.* **48(2)**: 231-234.
- Gibaldi, M. and Perrier, D. (1982). Pharmacokinetics. Marcell Dekker Inc, New York.
- Haritova, A. (2004). Pharmacokinetics of amikacin in lactating sheep. *Vet. Res. Commun.* **28**(5): 429-435.
- Jayachandran, C., Singh, M.K. and Banerjee, N.C. (1990). Pharmacokinetics and distribution of ampicillin in plasma, milk and uterine fluid of female buffaloes. *Vet. Res. Commun.* 14: 47-51.
- Kukanich, B. and J.F. Coetzee (2007). Comparative pharmacokinetics of amikacin in Greyhound and Beagle dogs. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther.* **31(2)**: 102-107.
- Paul, B.M., Jeanette W. and Gary D.O. (1971). Rapid, specific microbiological assay for amikacin (BB-K8). Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 6(4): 498-500.
- Quon, B.S., Goss, C.H. and Ramsey, B.W. (2014). Inhaled antibiotics for lower airway infections. Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc. 11: 425-434.
- Ristuccia, A.M. and Cunha, B.A. (1985). An overview of amikacin. *Ther. Drug Monit.* 7: 12-25.
- Saini, S.P. and Srivastava, A.K. (1998). The disposition kinetics, urinary excretion and dosage regimen of amikacin in cross bred bovine calves. *Vet. Res. Commun.* 22: 59-65.
- Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran (1994). Statistical Methods Publ., Oxford and IBH Publishing co., New Delhi.
- Tamma, P.D., Cosgrove, S.E. and Maragakis, L.L. (2012). Combination therapy for treatment of infections with gram-negative bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 25: 450-470.
- Uppal, R.P., Verma, S.P. and Kumar, V. (1998). Comparative pharmacokinetics of amikacin in buffalo calves following its intramuscular and subcutaneous administration. *Indian Vet. J.* 75: 262-264.
- Uppal, R.P., Verma, S.P., Verma, V. and Garg, S.K. (1997). Comparative pharmacokinetics of amikacin following a single intramuscular or subcutaneous administration in goats (*Capra hircus*). *Vet. Res.* **28(6)**: 565-570.
- Wasfi, I.A., Abdel Hadi, A.A., Bashir, A.K., Alhadrami, G.A. and Tanira, M.O.M. (1999). Pharmacokinetics of amikacin in the camel. *J. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther.* **22**: 62-64.