

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF PROPOFOL AND ETOMIDATE AS INDUCTION AGENT IN BUFFALOES

ANIL KUMAR, SANDEEP KUMAR, R.N. CHAUDHARY, RAM NIWAS* and SAHIL

Department of Veterinary Surgery and Radiology,
Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences (LUVAS), Hisar- 125004, Haryana (India)

Received: 20.04.2023; Accepted: 23.06.2023

ABSTRACT

The current study was undertaken for comparative evaluation of propofol and etomidate as induction agent in buffaloes during general anaesthesia. Twelve buffaloes affected with diaphragmatic hernia were randomly divided in two groups of six animals in each. Premedication was done with atropine sulphate (0.04 mg/kg I/M), xylazine (0.05 mg/kg I/M) and butorphanol (0.02 mg/kg I/V). Induction of anaesthesia was done with propofol (1.5 mg/kg I/V) in group I and with etomidate (0.25 mg/kg IV) in group II. Maintenance of anaesthesia was done with isoflurane (1.5%). For physiological parameters no significant difference was observed in between the groups at different time intervals. There was significant difference observed in muscle relaxation and recovery in between the groups and propofol was found better induction agent than etomidate in buffaloes.

Keywords: Buffalo, Diaphragmatic hernia, Etomidate, Induction, Propofol

How to cite: Kumar, A., Kumar, S., Chaudhary, R.N., Niwas, R. and Sahil (2023). Comparative evaluation of propofol and etomidate as induction agent in buffaloes. *Haryana Vet.* 62(2): 60-62.

A serious digestive disorder in buffaloes called diaphragmatic hernia comprises the diaphragm rupturing at the musculotendinous junction, which causes the abdominal viscera to herniate into the thoracic cavity (Krishnamurthy *et al.*, 1985; Chaudhary *et al.*, 2016). Ruminants are poor subjects for general anaesthesia for performing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy via a trans-abdominal route because the abdominal organ's weight puts pressure on the diaphragm, posterior vena cava, and aorta, that adversely affects ventilation and perfusion. Regurgitation and aspiration of ingested material are always a risk, but they can be reduced with appropriate anaesthetic management (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2016).

Ratnesh *et al.* (2014) have found transient apnea in buffalo calves with propofol, when used as an induction agent, but there was smooth recovery. Smooth and rapid recovery was observed in propofol induced goat than thiopentone and ketamine (Prassinis *et al.*, 2005). Etomidate exhibits minimal adverse on cardiovascular and respiratory system, which makes it suitable for hemodynamic instable patients (Ruth *et al.*, 2001). Poor quality score of recovery and ataxia along with longer and worse recovery were recorded after administration of etomidate than propofol in dogs (Sams *et al.*, 2008). The current study was conducted to evaluate the comparative study of propofol and etomidate as induction agents in buffaloes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The current study was conducted in twelve clinical cases of diaphragmatic hernia which were randomly

divided in two groups containing six animals each. All the animals were premedicated with inj. atropine (@ 0.04 mg/kg I/M); followed by inj. Xylazine (@0.05 mg/kg I/M) and after 5 min inj. butorphanol (@0.02 mg/kg I/V), respectively. Induction of anaesthesia was done with propofol (@1.5 mg/kg I/V) in group I and etomidate (@0.25 mg/kg I/V) in group II. Anaesthesia was maintained with isoflurane (1.5%). Scoring for evaluation of quality of anaesthesia was done by assigning numerical values; starting from 0 to 3 (0-no effect, 1-mild effect, 2-moderate effect, 3-deep effect) for sedation, analgesia and muscle relaxation and 1 to 4 (1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-excellent) for premedication, induction, maintenance and recovery as per Kumar *et al.* (2023). Physiological parameters were studied using Edan veterinary monitor (iM8 vet) before doing rumenotomy, on next day before premedication, before induction, at 5 minutes, 10 minutes, 30 minutes after induction, at recovery and after 24 hours of recovery. The statistical analysis of data was done by two-way-ANOVA using Duncan's multiple range test and paired t-test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

No significant difference was observed in any physiological parameters within and in between the groups except for respiratory rate in which significant variation was observed within the group after induction (Table 1). Similar results were recorded by Kishore (2017) after propofol as induction agent in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. Karki and Singh (2017) noted that induction with propofol as compared to etomidate causes significant fall in mean arterial pressure

*Corresponding author: drsundariwal@luvas.edu.in

Table 1. Effects of different anaesthetic combinations on physiological parameters in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy (Mean ± S.E.)

| Parameters | Groups | Before ru- menotomy | Diaphragmatic Herniorrhaphy | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | Before pre medication | Before induction | At 5 min. after induction | At 10 min. after induction | At 30 min. after induction | At Recovery | At 24 hrs. after Recovery |
| Heart Rate (beats/min) | Group I | 70.67 ± 0.65 | 71.33 ± 0.93 | 69.00 ± 0.71 | 67.17 ± 0.06 | 66.87 ± 0.50 | 68.17 ± 1.04 | 69.50 ± 0.61 | 72.33 ± 1.05 |
| | Group II | 70.50 ± 0.20 | 70.00 ± 0.33 | 68.17 ± 0.83 | 68.00 ± 0.75 | 70.17 ± 0.89 | 69.00 ± 1.05 | 71.67 ± 0.30 | 68.67 ± 0.30 |
| Respiration Rate (breaths/min) | Group I | 15.17 ^c ± 0.28 | 16.17 ^{cd} ± 0.44 | 17.00 ^c ± 0.53 | 10.08 ^a ± 0.19 | 12.83 ^b ± 0.28 | 15.50 ^{cd} ± 0.31 | 16.00 ^{cd} ± 0.47 | 16.50 ^{de} ± 0.39 |
| | Group II | 14.50 ^{bc} ± 0.39 | 14.83 ^{bc} ± 0.37 | 14.67 ^{bc} ± 0.19 | 12.83 ^a ± 0.28 | 13.83 ^b ± 0.15 | 14.00 ^{bc} ± 0.24 | 14.67 ^{bc} ± 0.38 | 15.00 ^c ± 0.24 |
| Rectal Temperature (°C) | Group I | 37.07 ± 0.03 | 36.92 ± 0.04 | 36.92 ± 0.04 | 36.92 ± 0.04 | 37.07 ± 0.09 | 37.10 ± 0.06 | 37.02 ± 0.05 | 37.13 ± 0.05 |
| | Group II | 36.95 ± 0.09 | 36.88 ± 0.05 | 36.94 ± 0.03 | 37.00 ± 0.05 | 36.93 ± 0.07 | 37.00 ± 0.06 | 37.03 ± 0.05 | 36.95 ± 0.03 |
| Systolic BP | Group I | 147.83 ± 2.44 | 144.00 ± 2.31 | 146.33 ± 2.17 | 149.67 ± 2.33 | 146.83 ± 2.52 | 148.83 ± 2.43 | 156.83 ± 2.57 | 157.83 ± 2.14 |
| | Group II | 147.33 ± 1.33 | 144.50 ± 2.83 | 149.83 ± 3.25 | 148.17 ± 2.15 | 145.50 ± 2.26 | 147.33 ± 2.51 | 152.17 ± 2.27 | 156 ± 1.71 |
| Diastolic BP | Group I | 107.67 ± 1.71 | 105.00 ± 1.71 | 104.67 ± 2.16 | 106.33 ± 2.09 | 108.17 ± 2.52 | 110.17 ± 2.37 | 107.83 ± 3.34 | 104.67 ± 3.66 |
| | Group II | 106.17 ± 1.30 | 106.17 ± 1.30 | 105.83 ± 1.40 | 107.83 ± 1.58 | 108.33 ± 1.65 | 108.83 ± 1.89 | 106.10 ± 2.03 | 105.83 ± 1.90 |
| Mean BP | Group I | 124.83 ± 1.30 | 121.67 ± 1.02 | 121.50 ± 0.76 | 123.17 ± 0.87 | 122.12 ± 1.08 | 123.11 ± 1.56 | 121.29 ± 1.71 | 122.56 ± 2.06 |
| | Group II | 123.81 ± 2.21 | 122.56 ± 2.21 | 121.48 ± 1.72 | 123.61 ± 1.48 | 124.30 ± 0.76 | 122.44 ± 0.67 | 121.41 ± 1.13 | 123.51 ± 1.33 |

Mean with different superscripts vary significantly (P<0.05). Superscripts a,b,c,d,e represents difference within the groups.

Table 2. Quality of anaesthesia for different anaesthetic combinations in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy (Mean ± S.E.)

| Parameters | Group I Mean±SE | Group II Mean±SE |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Premedication (1-4) | 3.67±0.21 | 3.63±0.17 |
| Induction (1-4) | 3.63±0.17 | 3.33±0.21 |
| Maintenance (1-4) | 3.67±0.21 | 3.33±0.33 |
| Recovery (1-4) | 3.67 ^B ±0.17 | 2.3 ^A ±0.22 |
| Sedation (1-3) | 2.33±0.21 | 2.33±0.33 |
| Muscle relaxation (1-3) | 2.83 ^A ±0.17 | 2.0 ^B ±0.26 |
| Analgesia (1-3) | 2.67±0.21 | 2.33±0.33 |

Means with different superscripts (A/B) in a column show significant difference in between groups (P<0.05) during human general anaesthesia till 5 minutes of induction.

During recovery and muscle relaxation scores, significant differences were observed in between the group, while there was no significant difference for

premedication, induction, maintenance, CNS sedation and analgesia (Table 2). Recovery and muscle relaxation scores were better in group I than group II. Sams *et al.* (2008) also reported that when etomidate was used as an induction drug instead of propofol, the recovery quality and ataxia score were poorer, and etomidate resulted in longer and worse recoveries than propofol in human patients. However, Sharma (2019) found that etomidate had lesser cardiopulmonary depression effects and better induction agent than propofol during general anaesthesia in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. For relaxation of jaw muscle, loss of tongue reflex, loss of swallowing reflex, intubation, extubation, regaining of muscle tone, regaining of head righting reflex, return to sternal recumbency, standing with ataxia and complete recovery was found better in group I than group II (Table 3). Induction was rapid and smooth when propofol was used alone (Kumar *et al.*, 2011; Ratnesh *et al.*, 2014) or with glycopyrrolate-xylazine-propofol combination in buffaloes (Potliya, 2015). Chaudhary *et al.* (2016) found

Table 3. Different behavioural characteristics induced by administration of different anaesthetic combinations (Mean±S.E.) in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy

| Parameters | Group I Mean±SE (Minute) | Group II Mean±SE (Minute) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Muzzle dryness ^o | 14.52±0.61 | 14.68±0.67 |
| Weak time ^{oo} | 15.33±0.50 | 14.92±0.49 |
| Down time ^{oo} | 16.33±0.32 | 16.22±0.41 |
| Loss of palpebral reflex* | 3.23±0.19 | 2.84±0.17 |
| Relaxation of jaw muscle* | 1.31 ^A ±0.08 | 1.77 ^B ±0.07 |
| Loss of tongue reflex* | 2.09 ^A ±0.15 | 2.88 ^B ±0.09 |
| Loss of swallowing reflex* | 2.24 ^A ±0.19 | 3.11 ^B ±0.29 |
| Intubation* | 2.06 ^A ±0.28 | 3.00 ^B ±0.11 |
| Extubation† | 13.13 ^A ±0.28 | 15.31 ^B ±0.50 |
| Regaining of muscle tone† | 14.8 ^A ±0.21 | 18.83 ^B ±0.39 |
| Regaining of head righting reflex† | 16.23 ^A ±0.56 | 20.34 ^B ±0.67 |
| Return to sternal recumbency† | 17.61 ^A ±0.45 | 21.1 ^B ±0.58 |
| Standing with ataxia† | 23.34 ^A ±0.74 | 37.57 ^B ±0.68 |
| Complete recovery† | 30.08 ^A ±0.42 | 47.62 ^B ±0.1.33 |

Means with different superscripts (A/B) in a column show significant difference in between groups (P<0.05)

^oafter administration of atropine; ^{oo}after administration of xylazine
*after administration of induction agent; †after discontinuation of isoflurane

that glycopyrrolate-xylazine-butorphanol-propofol-isoflurane anaesthetic combination was found to be safe and effective in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. In the present study both induction agent (propofol and etomidate) were found safe and effective for general anaesthesia in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy and propofol was found better than etomidate in terms of muscle relaxation and recovery.

REFERENCES

Chaudhary, R.N., Tayal, R., Behl, S.M., Kumar, A. and Sharma, S. (2016). Comparative evaluation of isoflurane and sevoflurane for maintenance of anaesthesia in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic

herniorrhaphy. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.* **87(6)**: 701-706.

Karki, G. and Singh, V. (2017). Comparative evaluation of induction characteristics of propofol and etomidate during general anaesthesia. *Indian J. Clin. Anaesth.* **4(4)**: 447-452.

Kishore, V. (2017). Studies on glycopyrrolate-acepromazine/xylazine-butorphanol-propofol-sevoflurane anaesthesia in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. M.V.Sc. thesis submitted to Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar.

Kumar, S., Chaudhary, R.N., Niwas, R., Sharma, M., Tiwari, D.K., Dinesh and Magotra, A. (2023). Evaluation of atropine-midazolam-butorphanol-propofol-isoflurane anaesthesia in buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. *Haryana Vet.* **62(SI)**: 48-51.

Krishnamurthy, D., Nigam, J.M., Peshin, P.K., Sharma, D.N. and Tyagi, R.P.S. (1985). Monograph on diaphragmatic hernia in bovines. Directorate of Publications, Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India.

Kumar, V., Singh, S., Kumar, A., Singh, J. and Peshin, P.K. (2011). Evaluation of propofol as an anaesthetic in buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) calves. *Haryana Vet.* **50**: 15-18.

Potliya, S. (2015). Evaluation of balanced anaesthetic combinations for anaesthetic management of buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. Ph.D. thesis submitted to Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar.

Prassinou, N.N., Galatos, A.D. and Raptopoulos, D. (2005). A comparison of propofol, thiopental or ketamine as induction agents in goats. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* **32(5)**: 289-296.

Ratnesh, Peshin, P.K., Kumar, A. and Singh, S. (2014). Effects of propofol on haematological and blood biochemical profile of buffalo calves (*Bubalus bubalis*). *Indian J. Vet. Surg.* **35(1)**: 25-27.

Ruth, J.W., Burton, H. and John, H. (2001). Intravenous etomidate for procedural sedation in emergency department patients. *Aca. Emer. Med.* **8(1)**: 13-18.

Sams, L., Braun, C. and Allman, D. (2008). A comparison of effects of propofol and etomidate on the induction of anaesthesia and on cardiopulmonary parameters in dogs. *Vet. Anaes. Anal.* **35(6)**: 488-494.

Sharma, S. (2019). Studies on different balanced anaesthetic combinations for buffaloes undergoing diaphragmatic herniorrhaphy. Ph.D thesis submitted to Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Hisar.