

## EFFECT OF INCLUSION OF MAIZE DRY DISTILLERS GRAIN WITH SOLUBLES (DDGS) IN CONCENTRATE MIXTURE ON HAEMTO-BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF GROWING LAMBS

S.K. THORAT, S.M. BHALERAO\*, A.V. KHANVILKAR, T.C. SHENDE, A.K. BARATE, V.A. BORSE, A.B. RATHOD, S.B. GARANDE and SARANYA, A.

Department of Animal Nutrition, Krantsingh Nana Patil College of Veterinary Science, MAFSU, Shirwal-412801, Dist-Satara (Maharashtra)

Received: 15.07.2023 Accepted: 11.11.2025

### ABSTRACT

An experimental study was conducted for 90 days on twenty-four growing lambs with uniform body weight and a similar sex ratio. The lambs were randomly divided into four experimental groups, viz. T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, with six lambs. All lambs were stall-fed with dry and green fodder along with a concentrate mixture formulated as per ICAR (2013). The control group (T<sub>0</sub>) was offered a concentrate mixture containing common and conventional ingredients. In the experimental groups, DDGS (Dried Distillers Grains with Solubles) was included in the concentrate mixture at levels of 5% (T<sub>1</sub>), 10% (T<sub>2</sub>) and 15% (T<sub>3</sub>). The overall mean values of Hb (g/dl), PCV (%) and TLC ( $\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$ ) were 9.77 $\pm$ 0.59, 9.65 $\pm$ 0.28, 9.63 $\pm$ 0.26 and 9.61 $\pm$ 0.26 g/dl; 28.55 $\pm$ 0.85, 28.98 $\pm$ 0.84, 28.91 $\pm$ 0.78 and 28.83 $\pm$ 0.78% and 5.22 $\pm$ 0.13, 5.21 $\pm$ 0.09, 5.32 $\pm$ 0.21 and 5.27 $\pm$ 0.11, respectively, in groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. The mean values of glucose, total protein, albumin, globulin and BUN were 51.82, 51.88, 52.00 and 51.91 mg/dl; 6.95, 6.90, 6.89 and 6.95 g/dl; 4.17, 4.16, 4.18 and 4.13 g/dl; 2.77, 2.73, 2.71 and 2.81 g/dl; and 16.84, 16.83, 16.85 and 16.90 mg/dl, respectively, in groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. All the hematological and biochemical parameters were found to be non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) among the treatment groups as compared to the control. It was therefore concluded that the inclusion of DDGS up to 15% in the concentrate mixture of growing lambs can be beneficial and does not exert any adverse effect on their hematological and blood-biochemical parameters.

**Keywords:** Blood Parameters, Concentrate mixture, DDGS, Lambs

**How to cite:** Thorat, S.K., Bhalerao, S.M., Khanvilkar, A.V., Shende, T.C., Barate, A.K., Borse, V.A., Rathod, A.B., Garande, S.B. and Saranya, A. (2025). Effect of inclusion of maize dry distillers grain with solubles (DDGS) in concentrate mixture on haemto-biochemical parameters of growing lambs. *Haryana Veterinarian*. 64(2): 79-82.

Livestock farming plays a key role in rural food security, women empowerment and youth and contributing to comprehensive socio-economic transformation of nation (Kekane, 2013). In India, sheep are mostly kept on common grazing pastures, wastelands, uncultivated lands, crop residue from cultivated crops, and tree lopping's. The sheep are mostly raised for their meat and wool. The scarcity and high prices of high-quality feeds in many underdeveloped nations severely restrict ruminant output. Furthermore, the cost of conventional feeds and fodder is high and also increasing day by day which leads to under-feeding of sheep's. In order to increase meat production, faster growth of sheep, it is necessary to provide adequate, balanced and also economical nutrition. Hence, to meet the daily nutritional requirement of ruminant animals like we need to look for newer, cheaper, locally available and nutrient rich feed sources like, dried distiller's grains with solubles (DDGS) and wet distillers grain with solubles (WDGS). Dried Distillers Grains with Solubles (DDGS) is remains after ethyl alcohol has been removed by distillation from the yeast fermentation of a grain or a grain mixture, using techniques common in the grain distilling industry. The by-products of the procedure used to produce ethanol are known as dry distiller's grains with solubles (DDGS). When starch is fermented to make ethanol, distillers grains become around three times more concentrated in crude fibre, crude protein, and crude fat; as

a result, DDGS can take the place of protein sources in cow diets (Klopfenstein *et al.*, 2008). In the diet, the distillers grains containing solubles either dried or wet can be used as a source of protein (Sahin *et al.*, 2013). It is usually more cost-effective for producers to feed lambs WDGS or DDGS (Rao *et al.*, 2016). The DDGS are typically useful, affordable protein supply (Klopfenstein *et al.*, 2001; Pezzanite *et al.*, 2010). The DDGS can therefore be utilized as a source of protein in ruminant animals. There is, however, little research on DDGS derived from wheat and corn and its applicability as a component of ruminant feed, particularly for small ruminant animals. Therefore it was decided to carry this research trial with decided objectives.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twenty-four (24) growing lambs aged 3-4 months, with uniform body weights (14.74, 14.76 and 14.76 kg) and a similar sex ratio (3 males: 3 females), were selected for a 90-day experimental study. The lambs were randomly allocated into four experimental groups, namely T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, with six lambs in each group. All animals were stall-fed with dry and green fodder along with a concentrate mixture formulated according to ICAR (2013) nutrient requirements. The concentrate mixture was prepared using locally available feed ingredients. The control group (T<sub>0</sub>) received a concentrate mixture composed of common ingredients such as maize grain, jowar grain, groundnut cake and cottonseed cake. The

\*Corresponding author: sanjaybhaleraoann@gmail.com

experimental groups were supplemented with DDGS at varying inclusion levels: 5% in T<sub>1</sub>, 10% in T<sub>2</sub> and 15% in T<sub>3</sub>.

Blood samples were collected from the experimental lambs at 0<sup>th</sup>, 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> day intervals. The haemato-biochemical analyses were conducted at the Central Instrumental Facility (CIF), Krantisinh Nana Patil College of Veterinary Science, Shirwal (Dist.-Satara, Maharashtra State). Haematological parameters such as haemoglobin (Hb), packed cell volume (PCV) and total leukocyte count (TLC) were estimated using a haemo-analyser (Model FALCON 260). The biochemical parameters analyzed included blood urea nitrogen (BUN), SGPT (ALT), SGOT (AST), serum albumin, and serum total protein. Statistical analysis was performed following the methods described by Snedecor and Cochran (1994). The data obtained from various response parameters were subjected to one-way ANOVA as per a completely randomized design (CRD) using SPSS Statistics software for Windows, version 2019.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The overall mean haemoglobin (Hb) values for groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were recorded as 9.77±0.59, 9.65±0.28, 9.63±0.26 and 9.61±0.26 g/dl, respectively, indicating that the inclusion of DDGS in the diet did not exert any adverse effect on haemoglobin levels. The Hb and PCV values observed were within the normal physiological range. The overall mean packed cell volume (PCV) values for groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were 28.55±0.85, 28.98±0.84, 28.91±0.78 and 28.83±0.78, respectively. Similarly, the total leukocyte count (TLC) values for T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were found to be 5.22±0.13, 5.21±0.09, 5.32±0.21 and 5.27±0.11, respectively.

The Hb, PCV and TLC values did not show any significant difference among the treatment groups and all values remained within the normal physiological range. The present findings are in agreement with the results of Felix *et al.* (2012) and Umesh Kumar Prajapat (2020), who reported no significant effect of the studied factors on haemoglobin, packed cell volume (PCV), and red blood cell count. The glucose values of the animals increased

**Table 1. Per cent Ingredient Composition of Concentrate Mixture**

| Ingredients             | T <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>1</sub> | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Maize                   | 30             | 30             | 30             | 30             |
| Jowar                   | 10             | 10             | 10             | 11             |
| Wheat bran              | 11             | 11             | 11             | 11             |
| Groundnut cake          | 11             | 11             | 10             | 8              |
| Cottonseed cake         | 20             | 15             | 13             | 12             |
| DDGS                    | 0              | 5              | 10             | 15             |
| Tur chunni              | 17             | 17             | 15             | 12             |
| Salt                    | 0.5            | 0.5            | 0.5            | 0.5            |
| Mineral+Vitamin mixture | 0.5            | 0.5            | 0.5            | 0.5            |
| Total                   | 100            | 100            | 100            | 100            |

**Table 2. Chemical composition of DDGS.**

| Sr. No. | Nutrient                  | DDGS  |
|---------|---------------------------|-------|
| 1.      | Dry Matter (%)            | 89.18 |
| 2.      | Organic Matter (%)        | 90.95 |
| 3.      | Crude Protein (%)         | 29.32 |
| 4.      | Ether Extract (%)         | 8.54  |
| 5.      | Crude Fibre (%)           | 6.58  |
| 6.      | Nitrogen Free Extract (%) | 46.52 |
| 7.      | Total Ash (%)             | 9.05  |

Average proximate composition on Dry Matter Basis (DMB)

across all four groups, yet remained within the normal physiological range (Bhattacharya, 2011).

The overall mean glucose values were recorded as 51.82±0.29, 51.88±0.35, 52.00±0.42 and 51.91±0.16 mg/dl in groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively. These results indicate that glucose levels were numerically higher in the DDGS-fed groups; however, the differences were statistically non-significant, suggesting that varying levels of DDGS inclusion in the concentrate diet did not exert any adverse effect on blood glucose concentration.

The results of the present study are in agreement with the findings of Etman *et al.* (2014). However, they differ from those of Omer H. and Soha Abdel-Magid (2015), who reported a significant decrease in glucose values in the treatment group compared to the control group. The average serum total protein values of the

**Table 3. Chemical Composition of Green Paragrass and Jowar Straw and Concentrate Mixture**

| Sr.No. | Nutrient           | Green Paragrass | Jowar Straw | T <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>1</sub> | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.     | Dry Matter (%)     | 23.95           | 91.78       | 92.81          | 92.76          | 92.65          | 92.53          |
| 2.     | Organic Matter (%) | 93.39           | 93.51       | 93.87          | 93.68          | 93.57          | 93.73          |
| 3.     | Crude Protein (%)  | 5.3             | 3.67        | 17.92          | 18.17          | 18.11          | 18.24          |
| 4.     | Ether Extract (%)  | 1.45            | 1.36        | 4.46           | 4.38           | 4.54           | 4.41           |
| 5.     | Crude Fibre (%)    | 21.22           | 29.13       | 12.82          | 12.11          | 12.61          | 12.64          |
| 6.     | N.F.E.             | 65.42           | 59.35       | 58.67          | 59.02          | 58.31          | 58.44          |
| 7.     | Total Ash (%)      | 6.61            | 6.49        | 6.13           | 6.32           | 6.43           | 6.27           |

Average proximate composition on Dry Matter Basis (DMB)

**Table 4. Details of effect of DDGS on Haematological parameters of Sheep**

| Days                                              | Treatment      |                |                |                | P Value |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                                                   | T <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>1</sub> | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> |         |
| <b>Hemoglobin (g/dl)</b>                          |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                                 | 9.25±0.14      | 9.46±0.08      | 9.15±0.18      | 9.33±0.16      | NS      |
| 30                                                | 9.26±0.11      | 9.31±0.04      | 9.55±0.10      | 9.40±0.12      | NS      |
| 60                                                | 9.18±0.12      | 9.36±0.06      | 9.46±0.12      | 9.31±0.04      | NS      |
| 90                                                | 10.36±0.09     | 10.50±0.15     | 10.38±0.08     | 10.40±0.05     | NS      |
| Mean±SE                                           | 9.77±0.59      | 9.65±0.28      | 9.63±0.26      | 9.61±0.26      | NS      |
| <b>Packed Cell Volume (%)</b>                     |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                                 | 27.75±0.42     | 28.40±0.26     | 27.45±0.55     | 28.00±0.48     | NS      |
| 30                                                | 27.80±0.33     | 27.95±0.14     | 28.65±0.31     | 28.20±0.37     | NS      |
| 60                                                | 27.55±0.38     | 28.10±0.20     | 28.40±0.36     | 27.95±0.14     | NS      |
| 90                                                | 31.10±0.29     | 31.50±0.47     | 31.15±0.26     | 31.20±0.15     | NS      |
| Mean±SE                                           | 28.55±0.85     | 28.98±0.84     | 28.91±0.78     | 28.83±0.78     | NS      |
| <b>Total Leucocyte Count (×10<sup>3</sup>/μl)</b> |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                                 | 4.96±0.11      | 5.13±0.13      | 5.11±0.09      | 5.10±0.09      | NS      |
| 30                                                | 5.13±0.12      | 5.00±0.21      | 4.85±0.16      | 5.04±0.21      | NS      |
| 60                                                | 5.21±0.14      | 5.37±0.07      | 5.51±0.07      | 5.45±0.06      | NS      |
| 90                                                | 5.60±0.14      | 5.37±0.27      | 5.84±0.11      | 5.49±0.07      | NS      |
| Mean±SE                                           | 5.22±0.13      | 5.21±0.09      | 5.32±0.21      | 5.27±0.11      | NS      |

**Table 5. Details of effect of Lemongrass oil on Blood Biochemical parameters of Lambs**

| Days                                 | Treatment      |                |                |                | P Value |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|                                      | T <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>1</sub> | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> |         |
| <b>Average blood Glucose (mg/dl)</b> |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                    | 50.95±0.20     | 50.88±0.05     | 50.73±0.13     | 50.84±0.07     | NS      |
| 30                                   | 52.02±0.12     | 51.94±0.10     | 52.5±0.21      | 52.16±0.24     | NS      |
| 60                                   | 52.02±0.10     | 52.22±0.12     | 52.27±0.07     | 52.28±0.12     | NS      |
| 90                                   | 52.30±0.10     | 52.48±0.16     | 52.53±0.10     | 52.57±0.14     | NS      |
| Mean±SE                              | 51.82±0.29     | 51.88±0.35     | 52.00±0.42     | 51.91±0.16     | NS      |
| <b>Total Protein (g/dl)</b>          |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                    | 6.69±0.05      | 6.67±0.03      | 6.67±0.01      | 6.74±0.04      | NS      |
| 30                                   | 6.80±0.008     | 6.73±0.07      | 6.81±0.04      | 6.83±0.09      | NS      |
| 60                                   | 7.04±0.02      | 6.97±0.03      | 6.98±0.06      | 7.04±0.002     | NS      |
| 90                                   | 7.28±0.03      | 7.25±0.04      | 7.13±0.06      | 7.19±0.03      | NS      |
| Mean±SE                              | 6.95±0.13      | 6.90±0.13      | 6.89±0.10      | 6.95±0.10      | NS      |
| <b>Average Serum Albumin (g/dl)</b>  |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                    | 3.99±0.02      | 4.09±0.06      | 4.12±0.06      | 3.85±0.04      | NS      |
| 30                                   | 4.23±0.008     | 4.20±0.03      | 4.22±0.03      | 4.22±0.06      | NS      |
| 60                                   | 4.23±0.06      | 4.17±0.06      | 4.19±0.01      | 4.12±0.002     | NS      |
| 90                                   | 4.26±0.04      | 4.21±0.003     | 4.19±0.02      | 4.23±0.05      | NS      |
| Mean±SE                              | 4.17±0.06      | 4.16±0.02      | 4.18±0.02      | 4.13±0.06      | NS      |
| <b>Average Serum Globulin (g/dl)</b> |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                    | 2.70±0.07      | 2.57±0.07      | 2.54±0.07      | 2.78±0.07      | NS      |
| 30                                   | 2.56±0.01      | 2.53±0.09      | 2.59±0.05      | 2.61±0.124     | NS      |
| 60                                   | 2.81±0.05      | 2.80±0.06      | 2.78±0.06      | 2.92±0.02      | NS      |
| 90                                   | 3.02±0.14      | 3.03±0.11      | 2.93±0.15      | 2.96±0.15      | NS      |
| Mean±SE                              | 2.77±0.09      | 2.73±0.11      | 2.71±0.08      | 2.81±0.07      | NS      |
| <b>Blood Urea Nitrogen (mg/dl)</b>   |                |                |                |                |         |
| 0                                    | 16.83±0.02     | 16.81±0.03     | 16.81±0.04     | 16.91±0.03     | NS      |
| 30                                   | 16.90±0.03     | 16.89±0.03     | 16.91±0.01     | 16.93±0.03     | NS      |
| 60                                   | 16.74±0.02     | 16.73±0.04     | 16.77±0.02     | 16.78±0.07     | NS      |
| 90                                   | 16.90±0.04     | 16.90±0.04     | 16.92±0.01     | 16.98±0.01     | NS      |
| Mean±SE                              | 16.84±0.03     | 16.83±0.03     | 16.85±0.03     | 16.90±0.04     | NS      |

experimental groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were recorded as 6.95±0.13, 6.90±0.13, 6.89±0.10 and 6.95±0.10 g/dl, respectively. The data on serum total protein revealed no significant differences among the treatment groups. These findings are consistent with the reports of Omer *et al.* (2015) and Ahn *et al.* (2016). However, Etman *et al.* (2014) observed that serum total protein levels increased with higher DDGS inclusion in the rations of animals.

The average serum albumin values of lambs in groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were 4.17±0.06, 4.16±0.02, 4.18±0.02 and 4.13±0.06 g/dl, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that serum albumin values were within the normal physiological range, with no significant differences among the treatment groups. These findings are in line with those reported by Omer *et al.* (2015). However, Ahn *et al.* (2016) reported lower serum albumin concentrations in steers fed rations containing WDGS, which contrasts with the present findings.

The average serum globulin values for groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were found to be non-significant across all treatment groups. The globulin values were within the normal physiological range (Bhattacharya, 2011). The overall mean globulin concentrations were 2.77±0.09, 2.73±0.11, 2.71±0.08 and 2.81±0.07 g/dl for groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively, indicating that varying inclusion levels of DDGS in the concentrate diet did not have any adverse effect on globulin levels. These findings are in agreement with those of Ahn *et al.* (2016). However, they contradict the observations of Omer *et al.* (2015), who reported significantly decreased serum globulin levels in calves fed concentrate mixtures containing DDGS at 0%, 5%, and 10%.

The average serum blood urea nitrogen (BUN) values of lambs in groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> were recorded as 16.84±0.03, 16.83±0.03, 16.85±0.03 and 16.90±0.04 mg/dl, respectively.

The data pertaining to serum BUN values of growing lambs were statistically analyzed and found to be well within the normal physiological range, with no significant differences observed among the treatment groups T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. The present findings are in agreement with those of Ahn *et al.* (2016) who reported normal BUN concentrations in steers fed concentrate mixtures containing WDG at 28% inclusion level in the feed.

## CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the inclusion of DDGS in the concentrate mixture for sheep is beneficial and does not exert any adverse effects on the hematological and blood-biochemical parameters of growing lambs. Therefore, the findings support the use of DDGS as an economical and

sustainable feed ingredient for lambs, offering a viable alternative that maintains animal health, metabolic efficiency and organ integrity.

## REFERENCES

- Ahn, G.C., H.J. Kwak, Y.K. Oh, Y.K. Lee, S.S. Jang, S.S. Lee and K.K. Park (2016). Characteristics of wet distiller's grains *in vitro* ruminal fermentation and its effects on performance and carcass characteristics of finishing Hanwoo steers. *Asian-Australian J. Anim. Sci.* **29**(4): 530.
- 20th Livestock Census (2018). ICAR. All India Report Ministry of Agriculture Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi, India.
- AOAC (1995). Association of Official Analytical Chemists, (16<sup>th</sup> Edn.), Washington, D.C.
- Bhattacharyya, B. (2011). Textbook of Veterinary Physiology. Third revised edition 2015 INDIA, Kalyani Publishers. pp. 74-107.
- Felix, T.L., Zerby, H.N., Moeller, S.J. and Loerch, S.C. (2012). Effects of increasing dried distillers grains with solubles on performance, carcass characteristics, and digestibility of feedlot lambs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **90**(4): 1356-1363.
- Gutierrez, Z.A., Orozco Hernandez, J.R., Ruiz Garci, I.J. and Olmos Colmenero, J.J. (2009). Effect of level of spent corn from the ethanol industry and lamb sex on performance. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* **8**(3): 595-597.
- ICAR (2013). Department of Agriculture Research and Education, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi.
- Kekane, M.A. (2013). Indian Agriculture- Status, Importance and Role in Indian Economy, *Int. J. Agri. Food Sci. Technol. ISSN 2249-3050.* **4**(2013): 343-346.
- KeShun Liu (2011). Chemical composition of distillers grain. *J. Agr. Food Chem.* **59**: 1508-1526.
- Klopfenstein, T.J. (2001). Distillers grains for beef cattle. In National Corn Growers Association, Ethanol Co-Products Workshop, Lincoln Neb. Nov. (Vol. 7). *J. Anim. Sci.* **78**(2): 1190-1197.
- Klopfenstein, T.J., Erickson, G.E. and Bremer, V.R. (2008). Use of distillers by products in the beef cattle feeding industry. *J. Anim. Sci.* **86**(5): 1223-1231.
- Omer, H.A.A. and S. Soha Abdel-Magid (2015). Nutritional impact of partial replacement of cotton seed meal with distillers dried grain with solubles (DDGS) on animal performance, digestion coefficients and some blood constituents in crossbred calves. *World Appl. Sci. J.* **33**(4): 580-589.
- Pezzanite, L., P. Gunn, M. Neary, R. Llemenager and S. Lake (2010). Value of distiller's grains as a feed for sheep. Purdue University Cooperative Extension Service, West Lafayette, IN 47907, pp. 1-5.
- Prajapat, U., Sharma, T., Saini, N., Arya, R. and Jain, D. (2020). Effect of feeding dried distillers grains with solubles to magra lambs on sero-biochemical parameters. *Int. J. Livest. Res.* **10**(5):34-38.
- Rao, D.C. (2016). Effect of dietary incorporation of dried distillers grain with solubles (DDGS) on performance in growing native ram lambs. Biennial Animal Nutrition Association conference, Nov. 9-11, 2016, Tirupati India. p. 83.
- Şahin, T., Ö. Kaya, D. Aksu Elmali and I. Kaya (2013). Effects of dietary supplementation with distiller dried grain with solubles in growing lambs on growth, nutrient digestibility and rumen parameters. *Revue de Medecine Vet.* **164**(4): 173-178.
- Snedecor, G.M. and W.G. Cochran (1994). Statistical methods, (8<sup>th</sup> Edn.), The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.